

FINITELY-PRESENTED GROUPS WITH LONG LOWER CENTRAL SERIES

BY

J. LEVINE

Department of Mathematics, Brandeis University, Waltham, MA 02254-9110, USA

ABSTRACT

We construct examples of finitely presented groups G with the property that, if G_ω is the intersection of the lower central series of G , then $[G, G_\omega] \neq G_\omega$.

The transfinite lower central series $\{G_\alpha\}$ of a group G is defined, by transfinite induction, for every ordinal α (less than some fixed ordinal λ) as follows:

- (1) $G_1 = G$,
- (2) $G_{\alpha+1} = [G_\alpha, G]$ for any ordinal α ,
- (3) $G_\alpha = \bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} G_\beta$, if α is a limit ordinal.

(If H, H' are subgroups of G , then $[H, H']$ is the subgroup generated by all commutators $[h, h']$, $h \in H$, $h' \in H'$.) It is clear that this series eventually stabilizes, i.e., $G_\alpha = G_{\alpha+1}$ if α is large enough (also λ). The smallest such α will be referred to as the *length* of G . If $G_\alpha = \{1\}$, G is *transfinitely nilpotent*.

It is a classical theorem of Malcev [M] that, for any ordinal α , there exists a group of length α . Furthermore, it is shown by Hall and Hartley [HH] (see also [H] and [H1]) that, if α is countable, there is a finitely-generated group of length α . It is suggestive that all the examples constructed in these papers, when $\alpha > \omega$ (ω is the first infinite ordinal), are non-finitely-presented. Thus it is natural to ask what lengths are possible for finitely-presented groups. In particular, is it possible for a finitely-presented group to have length greater than ω ? Note that any non-abelian free group has length ω [Ma] and it is known [H] that any extension of a finitely-generated abelian group by a cyclic group has length $\leq \omega$.

It is the main purpose of this note to prove:

THEOREM 1. *There exists a finitely-presented group G of length $> \omega$, i.e. $G_\omega \neq G_{\omega+1}$.*

Received February 1, 1990

The proof will make use of a new localization process for groups ([L1], [V]), used in [L], [Le] to study concordance of links. This is a substitute for the *HZ-localization* of Bousfield ([B]) and is better suited to the study of objects of finite type (also see [FOS]).

Theorem 1 will follow from the existence of a group whose localization is not “achieved” in the nilpotent completion (see Theorem 2).

In the final section we will produce an explicit group G satisfying Theorem 1, whose construction is suggested by Theorem 2, and give a direct proof (without using localization) that $G_\omega \neq G_{\omega+1}$.

1. We recall some definitions from [L], [L1]. Let G be a group and $\mathcal{S}$ a *system of equations* over G :

$$(\mathcal{S}) \quad x_i = w_i(x_1, \dots, x_n), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

$w_i = w_i(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is an element of $G * F$ (free product), where F is the free group on the indeterminates $x_1, \dots, x_n$. A *solution* of $\mathcal{S}$ is a retraction $\rho: G * F \rightarrow G$ such that $\rho(w_i) = \rho(x_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. We usually write the solution, more suggestively, as “ $x_i = \rho(w_i)$.” We say $\mathcal{S}$ is *contractible* if $\rho'(w_i) = 1$ for all i , where $\rho': G * F \rightarrow F$ is the retraction defined by $\rho'(G) = 1$. G is *E-closed* (called algebraically closed in [L]) if every contractible system of equations over G has a *unique* solution in G .

It is proved in [L] that, for every group G , there is an essentially unique $\phi: G \rightarrow \hat{G}$ satisfying:

(i) $\hat{G}$ is *E-closed*.

(ii) If $\psi: G \rightarrow A$, where A is *E-closed*, then there is a unique $\theta: \hat{G} \rightarrow A$ such that $\theta \circ \phi = \psi$. $\hat{G}$ is called the *algebraic closure* of G . We refer the reader to [L], [L1] for properties and examples.

Suppose $\psi: G \rightarrow A$ is as in (ii). Then we can define the *algebraic closure* of G (or ψ) in A to be $\theta(\hat{G})$. As our main example of this let $A = \tilde{G}$, the *nilpotent completion* of G ($\tilde{G} = \lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} G/G_q$) and ψ the canonical homomorphism. It is proved in [L2] that $\tilde{G}$ is *E-closed*. We denote by $\bar{G}$ the algebraic closure of G in $\tilde{G}$. We will see below that $\text{Ker}\{\hat{G} \rightarrow \bar{G}\} = (\hat{G})_\omega$. It is an important question in link theory whether $\hat{F} = \bar{F}$, or, at least, $\text{length } \hat{F} = \omega$, where F is any free group (see question 4 in section 5 of this paper). We shall prove:

THEOREM 2. *There exist finitely presented groups G such that $\text{length } \hat{G} > \omega$.*

The examples we exhibit are the following family for $n \geq 2$:

$$\Gamma(n) = \{t, x_1, \dots, x_n : x_i x_j = x_j x_i \ (1 \leq i < j \leq n), tx_i t^{-1} = x_i^{-1} \ (1 \leq i \leq n)\}.$$

We shall also show that Theorem 2 implies Theorem 1.

2. We will have use for a tower construction, modeled after a similar construction in [B], first suggested by Kent Orr, which produces the transfinite lower central series of $\hat{G}$. We construct, for any group G , an inverse system $\{G(\alpha); \varphi_{\alpha\beta}: G(\alpha) \rightarrow G(\beta), \text{ if } \alpha > \beta; \varphi_\alpha: G \rightarrow G(\alpha)\}$ where the indexing set is all ordinals (less than a given ordinal). Set $G(1) = 1$ and $G(2) = H_1(G)$. For $\alpha > 2$ proceed by induction and assume $\{G(\beta), \phi_\beta, \phi_{\beta\gamma}\}$ is defined for all $\gamma < \beta < \alpha$. If $\alpha = \beta + 1$, then $\phi_{\alpha\beta}: G(\alpha) \rightarrow G(\beta)$ is defined to be the central extension defined by

$$H_2 G(\beta) \rightarrow \text{Cok}\{\varphi_{\beta*}: H_2 G \rightarrow H_2 G(\beta)\}$$

and $\varphi_\alpha: G \rightarrow G(\alpha)$ is the canonical lift of φ_β (see [B]). If α is a limit ordinal, then $G(\alpha)$ is defined to be the algebraic closure of G in $\lim_{\beta < \alpha} G(\beta)$. We rely on the facts that a central extension of an E -closed group is E -closed, and the inverse limit of a system of E -closed groups is E -closed (see [L]) to insure that $G(\alpha)$ is well-defined and E -closed. Thus, by the definition of $\hat{G}$, φ_α lifts uniquely to $\hat{\varphi}_\alpha: \hat{G} \rightarrow G(\alpha)$.

PROPOSITION 1. $\hat{\varphi}_\alpha$ is onto and Kernel $\hat{\varphi}_\alpha = (\hat{G})_\alpha$.

REMARK. The tower construction in [B] is identical to ours, except that $G(\alpha)$ is the HZ -closure of $\lim_{\beta < \alpha} G(\beta)$, if α is a limit ordinal. According to [B], $G(\alpha)$ is the α -th lower central series quotient of the HZ -localization of G (which is always transfinitely nilpotent, unlike the algebraic closure).

PROOF. Recall from [B] the tower construction of the lower central series quotients of G . $G[1] = G$, $G[\alpha + 1] \rightarrow G[\alpha]$ is the central extension defined by $H_2 G[\alpha] \rightarrow \text{Cok}\{H_2 G \rightarrow H_2 G[\alpha]\}$, and $G[\alpha] = \text{image of } G \text{ in } \lim_{\beta < \alpha} G[\beta]$ if α is a limit ordinal. Then $G[\alpha] \approx G/G_\alpha$ in a natural way. We apply this tower construction to $\hat{G}$ and show that there is an isomorphism of inverse systems $\hat{G}[\alpha] \approx G(\alpha)$, by transfinite induction. If $\alpha = \beta + 1$ and $\hat{G}[\beta] \approx G(\beta)$, then $\hat{G}[\alpha]$ and $G(\alpha)$ are the central extension of $G(\beta)$ defined by

$$H_2 \hat{G}[\beta] \rightarrow \text{cok}\{H_2 \hat{G} \rightarrow H_2 \hat{G}[\beta]\} \quad \text{and} \quad H_2 G(\beta) \rightarrow \text{cok}\{H_2 G \rightarrow H_2 G(\beta)\},$$

respectively. Since $H_2 G \rightarrow H_2 G$ is onto, these are the same. If α is a limit ordinal, the inductive hypothesis implies $\lim_{\beta < \alpha} \hat{G}[\beta] \approx \lim_{\beta < \alpha} G(\beta)$. Then $\hat{G}[\alpha]$ is the

image of $\hat{G}$, while $G(\alpha)$ is the algebraic closure of the image of G in $\lim_{\beta < \alpha} G(\beta)$. But these are identical by definition.

This completes the proof.

COROLLARY. *For any group G , $\text{Kernel}\{\hat{G} \rightarrow \bar{G}\} = (\hat{G})_\omega$. (Recall $\bar{G}$ is the algebraic closure of G in its nilpotent completion $\tilde{G}$.)*

3. We show now that Theorem 2 implies Theorem 1. Suppose G is a finitely-presented group with length $\hat{G} > \omega$. It follows from the Stallings 5-term exact sequence [S] that this is equivalent to $H_2(\hat{G}) - H_2(\hat{G}/(\hat{G})_\omega)$, not onto. Now, according to [L], $\hat{G}$ is a direct limit of finitely-presented groups:

$$G = G^0 \rightarrow G^1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow G^r \rightarrow G^{r+1} \rightarrow \dots,$$

where $G \rightarrow G^r$ is 2-connected, for all r , i.e., $H_q(G) \rightarrow H_q(G^r)$ is bijective for $q = 1$ and surjective for $q = 2$. By [S], $G \rightarrow (\tilde{G}^r)$ is bijective and so we obtain a sequence of inclusions:

$$G/G_\omega \subseteq \dots \subseteq G^r/(G^r)_\omega \subseteq G^{r+1}/(G^{r+1})_\omega \subseteq \dots \subseteq \hat{G}/(\hat{G})_\omega.$$

Clearly $\hat{G}/(\hat{G})_\omega = \bigcup_r G^r/(G^r)_\omega$ and so $H_2(\hat{G}/(\hat{G})_\omega) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} H_2(G^r/(G^r)_\omega)$. We can now conclude that $H_2(G^r) \rightarrow H_2(G^r/(G^r)_\omega)$ is not onto for some r , for otherwise

$$H_2(\hat{G}) \approx \lim_r H_2(G^r) \rightarrow \lim_r H_2(G^r/(G^r)_\omega) \approx H_2(\hat{G}/(\hat{G})_\omega)$$

would be onto. For such G^r we then conclude by [S] that length $G^r > \omega$.

4. We now prove Theorem 2 by exhibiting a finitely-presented group G such that $H_2(G) \rightarrow H_2(\bar{G})$ is not onto. Since $H_2(G) \rightarrow H_2(\hat{G})$ is onto and $\bar{G} = \hat{G}/(\hat{G})_\omega$, this will show that $H_2(\hat{G}) \rightarrow H_2(\hat{G}/(\hat{G})_\omega)$ is not onto and so length $\hat{G} > \omega$.

Let G be the semi-direct product of a finitely-generated abelian group A by an infinite cyclic group C , i.e. A is a normal subgroup of G and $G/A \approx C$. G is then completely specified by the conjugating action of C on A . If $t \in G$ is a generator of C , we define $t\alpha t^{-1} = \alpha^{-1}$ for all $\alpha \in A$.

Let Z_2 denote the additive group of 2-adic integers and $Z_{(2)} (\subseteq Z_2)$ the rational 2-adic integers. We now define $A_2 = A \otimes Z_2$ and $A_{(2)} = A \otimes Z_{(2)}$, interpreting A additively.

PROPOSITION 2. *$\tilde{G}$ and $\bar{G}$ are the semi-direct products of A_2 and $A_{(2)}$, respectively, by C , with $t\alpha t^{-1} = \alpha^{-1}$ for all $\alpha \in A_2$ or $A_{(2)}$. The inclusion $\bar{G} \subseteq \tilde{G}$ is induced by the natural inclusion $A_{(2)} \subseteq A_2$.*

PROOF. It is straightforward to calculate that the lower central series of G is given by:

$$G_q = 2^{q-1}A \quad \text{for } q \geq 2$$

using additive notation in A . Thus the result for $\tilde{G}$ follows immediately. We now have to identify $\tilde{G}$ in $\tilde{G}$.

A typical element of $\tilde{G}$ occurs as a solution of a contractible system of equations $\mathcal{S}$:

$$(\mathcal{S}) \quad x_i = w_i(x_1, \dots, x_n); \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Let us formally set $x_i = y_i t^{e_i}$, where $\{y_i\}$ are new indeterminants and e_i are integer variables. We identify t with the generator of C and regard $y_i \in A_2$; so $\mathcal{S}$ is an equation in $\tilde{G}$. Making this substitution in $\mathcal{S}$ and using the relations $ty_i t^{-1} = y_i^{-1}$ we rewrite

$$w_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) = v_i(y_1, \dots, y_n) t^{a_i}$$

where $v_i \in A * F(y_1, \dots, y_n)$. Since $\mathcal{S}$ is contractible and conjugating by t does not change the exponent of $y_i \bmod 2$, it follows that the image of v_i under the projection $A * F(y_1, \dots, y_n) \rightarrow F(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ is a monomial in which the exponent sum of each y_j is even.

This substitution has converted $\mathcal{S}$ into a new system of equations over G :

$$(\mathcal{S}') \quad y_i t^{e_i} = v_i(y_1, \dots, y_n) t^{a_i}; \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Since $\mathcal{S}'$ is solvable in $\tilde{G}$, we conclude that $a_i = e_i$ and we are left with the following system over A :

$$(\mathcal{S}'') \quad y_i = v_i(y_1, \dots, y_n)$$

which is solvable in A_2 . If we change to additive notation, $\mathcal{S}''$ can be rewritten as:

$$(\mathcal{S}''') \quad y_i = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} y_j + \alpha_i; \quad 1 \leq i \leq n$$

where $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\alpha_i \in A$. Our observation above about v_i is equivalent to the condition that each a_{ij} is even. Since square integral matrices with odd determinant are invertible over $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$, we see that the solutions $\{y_i\}$ of $\mathcal{S}'''$ lie in $A_{(2)}$.

Conversely, suppose $\beta \in A_{(2)}$ and r any integer. We may write $m\beta = \alpha \in A$ for some odd integer $m = 2k + 1$. Then the single contractible equation

$$x = \alpha (xt^{-r})^{-k} t (xt^{-r})^k t^{r-1}$$

has the solution $x = \beta t^r$ (multiplicatively).

This completes the proof of Proposition 2.

5. We now compute $H_2 G \rightarrow H_2 \tilde{G}$. Since G is an extension of A by an infinite cyclic group we have the usual exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_q(A) \xrightarrow{t_*-1} H_q(A) \rightarrow H_q(G) \rightarrow H_{q-1}(A) \xrightarrow{t_*-1} H_{q-1}(A) \rightarrow$$

where t_* is induced by conjugation by t . If A is a free abelian group, then $H_q(A) \approx \Lambda^q A$, the q -th exterior power. In our case conjugation by t is inversion and so $t_* = (-1)^q$ on $H_q(A)$. In particular we conclude that $H_2(G) \approx \Lambda^2 A$; similarly $H_2(\tilde{G}) \approx \Lambda^2 A_{(2)}$. Furthermore, $H_2(G) \rightarrow H_2(\tilde{G})$ coincides with the natural homomorphism $\Lambda^2 A \rightarrow \Lambda^2 A_{(2)}$. If $\text{rank } A = n$, then $\Lambda^2 A$ (resp. $\Lambda^2 A_{(2)}$) is a direct sum of $\binom{n}{2}$ copies of Z (resp. $Z_{(2)}$). Thus if $n = 1$, $H_2(G) \rightarrow H_2(\tilde{G})$ is onto, but if $n > 1$ it is not onto and we obtain the desired examples.

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

6. We now produce an explicit group G satisfying Theorem 1. Let

$$H = \{t, x, y: xy = yx, txt^{-1} = x^{-1}, tyt^{-1} = y^{-1}\}$$

be one of the groups satisfying Theorem 2. Define G from H by adding a generator z and relation $z = x[t, z]$. Let $\phi: H \rightarrow G$ be the inclusion and $\psi: G \rightarrow H$ the epimorphism defined by:

$$\psi(t) = t, \quad \psi(y) = y, \quad \psi(z) = x, \quad \psi(x) = x^3.$$

Note that ϕ is 2-connected since G is a non-singular extension in the sense of Howie [Ho]. Thus by [S] ϕ induces an isomorphism of nilpotent completions $\tilde{H} \rightarrow \tilde{G}$. It is also true that $\psi \circ \phi$ induces an isomorphism of nilpotent completions $\tilde{H} \rightarrow \tilde{H}$ (even though $\psi \circ \phi$ is not 2-connected). This can be checked directly from Proposition 2. Thus we conclude that ψ induces an isomorphism $\tilde{G} \rightarrow \tilde{H}$. Since ψ is onto, it follows that $G/G_\omega \rightarrow H/H_\omega$ (induced by ψ) is an isomorphism.

Now consider the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H_2(G) & \rightarrow & H_2(G/G_\omega) & \rightarrow & G_\omega/G_{\omega+1} \rightarrow 0 \\ \downarrow \psi' & & \downarrow \psi'' & & \downarrow \\ H_2(H) & \rightarrow & H_2(H/H_\omega) & \rightarrow & H_\omega/H_{\omega+1} \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where the rows are part of the 5-term exact sequence of [S] arising from the short exact sequence $1 \rightarrow G_\omega \rightarrow G \rightarrow G/G_\omega \rightarrow 1$, $1 \rightarrow H_\omega \rightarrow H \rightarrow H/H_\omega \rightarrow 1$, and the vertical maps are induced by ψ . Now $H_\omega = 1$ (see Proposition 2) and we see easily that ψ' has cokernel of order 3. In fact, $H_2(H)$ is infinite cyclic generated by $x \wedge y$

and, since ϕ is 2-connected, $H_2(G)$ is generated by $x \wedge y$. Therefore the image of ψ' is generated by $x^3 \wedge y = (x \wedge y)^3$.

Now a simple diagram chase shows that $G_\omega/G_{\omega+1}$ is the group of order 3—generated by $[z, y]$ —since ψ'' is an isomorphism, $[z, y] = 1$ in G/G_ω , and $\psi''[z, y] = [x, y]$.

7. We conclude with some questions/problems:

(1) Find a finitely-presented group G with length greater than ω , which is trans-finitely nilpotent.

(2) Is there a finitely-presented group of any prescribed countable length (and transfinitely nilpotent)?

(3) If G is finitely-generated of length α , is G_α the union of invisible subgroups? Note that G_α is the maximal subgroup K of C satisfying $[K, G] = K$. For the infinitely generated perfect group

$$P = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, : x_i = [x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}], i \geq 1\}$$

we have $P = P_\alpha$ but P contains no invisible subgroups. The finitely-presented group:

$$G = \{x, y, s, t : txt^{-1} = x[x, y] = sxs^{-1}, tyt^{-1} = y[y, txt^{-1}] = sys^{-1}\}$$

has length ω , but G_ω is normally generated by $\{[s^i t^{-i}, x], i > 0\}$ and is not invisible. However, G_ω is the union of the invisible subgroups

$$K(n) = \text{normal closure of } \{[s^i t^{-i}, x], i \leq n\}.$$

(4) Is there a finitely-presented group G with length $> \omega$, $H_2(G; \mathbb{Z}/p) = 0$ for all p ? If not, then $\hat{F}$ has length $= \omega$, where F is the free group of the same rank m as $H_1(G)$, and this implies $H_2(\bar{F}) = 0$. This is important in the study of classical links. If $H_2(\bar{F}) = 0$, then a theorem of X. S. Lin applies to give a complete realizability criterion for Milnor's $\bar{\mu}$ -invariants (see [L3]) for links of multiplicity m .

REFERENCES

- [B] A. Bousfield, *Homological localization towers for groups and π -modules*, *Memoirs Am. Math. Soc.* 186, Vol. 10.
- [FOS] E. Dror-Farjoun, K. Orr and S. Shelah, *Bousfield localization as an algebraic closure of groups*, *Isr. J. Math.* 66 (1989), 143–153.
- [H] B. Hartley, *The order-types of central series*, *Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.* 61 (1965), 303–319.
- [H1] B. Hartley, *The residual nilpotence of wreath products*, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) 20 (1970), 365–372.
- [HH] P. Hall and B. Hartley, *The stability group of a series of subgroups*, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) 16 (1966), 1–39.

- [Ho] J. Howie, *How to generalize one-relator group theory*, in *Combinatorial Group Theory* (S. Gersten and J. Stallings, eds.), Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1987.
- [L] J. Levine, *Link concordance and algebraic closure of groups II*, Invent. Math., to appear.
- [L1] J. Levine, *Algebraic closure of groups*, in *Combinatorial Group Theory: Proceedings of Conference at University of Maryland, April 1988* (B. Fine, A. Gaglione and C. Y. Tang, eds.), Contemporary Mathematics, Vol. 109, Am. Math. Soc., 1990.
- [L2] J. Levine, *Link concordance and algebraic closure of groups*, Comment. Math. Helv. **64** (1989), 236–255.
- [L3] J. Levine, *Link concordance*, Proceedings KIT Mathematics Workshop: Algebra and Topology (M. H. Kim and K. H. Ko, eds.), Korea Institute of Technology Mathematics Research Center, Taejeon, Korea, 1988.
- [Le] J. Y. LeDimet, *Cobordisme d'enlacements de disques*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **32** (1988), 116.
- [M] A. I. Malcev, *Generalized nilpotent algebras and their associated groups*, Mat. Sb. **25(67)** (1949), 347–366 (Russian); Am. Math. Soc. Transl. (2) **69** (1968), 1–22.
- [Ma] W. Magnus, *Beziehungen zwischen gruppen und idealen in einem speziellen ring*, Math. Ann. **111** (1935), 259–280.
- [S] J. Stallings, *Homology and central series of groups*, J. Algebra **2** (1965), 170–181.
- [V] P. Vogel, *Localization of spaces with respect to a class of maps*, Univ. de Nantes, 1978, preprint.